A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH

Hitler and National Socialism, How Right? How Wrong?

By Austin J. App, Ph.D.

Associate Professor (Ret.), LaSalle College, Philadelphia
Honorary President, Federation of American Citizens of German Descent

The writer, born and raised in Wisconsin, holds an M.A. and a Ph.D. degree in English literature from the Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C., was instructor or professor of English at several colleges, including the Catholic University, the University of Scranton, LaSalle College. He has written hundreds of articles and reviews, and eight books, and has published many pamphlets.

In 1939 he was awarded the University of Scranton Faculty Gold Medal as "outstanding educator of men." In 1940-41 he was president of the Debating Association of Pennsylvania Colleges. In 1941 he was co-founder of the review magazine Best Sellers.

For ten years he was chairman of the Pastorius Unit of the Steuben Society, Philadelphia; for six years national president of the Federation of American Citizens of German Descent, of which he is still honorary president. For several years he was on the speaker's bureau of the John Birch Society. He is an honorary member of the German-American National Congress. For several years he was chairman of the Greater Philadelphia Captive Nations Committee. He considers the liberation of these nations, including the Soviet Zone of Germany, and the dissolution of the Soviet Russian colonialism the only hope for preventing World War III.

Published June 15, 1974 by

Boniface Press

8207 Flower Avenue, Takoma Park, Maryland 20012

(One copy, $1.00; 3 copies, $2.00; 20 copies, $10.00)

Copyright, 1974, by Dr. A. J. App.

All rights reserved. Generous quotations for reviews and critiques encouraged, if in context.

A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH
Hitler and National Socialism, How Right? How Wrong?

Decades after Unconditional Surrender, an objective look at the Third Reich and National Socialism is long overdue.[1] Three recent trips to Germany have convinced me that any German policy which is patriotic and national -- as truly German as we want American policy to be truly American--one which is firmly anti-communistic and yet not slavishly subservient to the Western victors, will be denounced as neo-Nazi. Yet unless Germany can soon generate such a policy into office, it seems destined to fall under the domination of Soviet Russia.

Green Light for Soviets to Invade

Indeed, the United Nations Charter (in Articles 53 and 107) reserves to Soviet Russia the right to invade West Berlin and West Germany whenever it can allege a revival there of neo-Nazism or militarism. Every time anyone accuses anything in West Germany or in Austria as neo-Nazi he is flashing a green light to Soviet Russia to invade these former enemies. When in 1968 West Germany faintly protested the Red invasion of Czechoslovakia, Moscow pointed to Articles 53 and 107 and convulsed Bonn with terror and silence. And blackmailed it into ratifying the Red theft of the Oder-Neisse territories -- one fourth of Germany -- and to sanction the expulsion of its fifteen million inhabitants (which includes the Sudeten Germans) and the murder of 2,500,000! And in the Moscow-Bonn treaty, West Germany had to endorse Articles 53 and 107!

Yet a nation whom the victors do not allow to be nationalistic cannot long remain a nation; it will go the way the Soviet Zone of

1. In their Forward to Fighter Aces of the Luftwaffe, Horrido (Ballentine, 1970), authors Revor J. Constable and Col. Raymond F. Toliver write, "A quarter of a century after the end of the Second World War, the time has come to dispel many myths and inaccurate views concerning the Luftwaffe fighter pilots which have their roots in wartime propaganda" (p. xv). What they rightly say of the Luftwaffe is even more true of all of Germany, of the Third Reich, its leaders, its people!

Germany and Czechoslovakia have gone -- right under the noses of the Anglo-American champions of democracy! The world-wide Communistic conspiracy and a lingering Morgenthauism have succeeded in labeling all German patriotism as neo-Nazi and to keep representing the Third Reich as monstrously evil, and National Socialism as the most Satanic governmental philosophy and system in the world!

It Is High Time To Take An Honest Look

The time is overdue to investigate what validity this judgement has and who is behind it. According to some signs, what is not totally evil is National Socialism, and what is totally evil is the propaganda of the Unconditional Surrenderists! For example, I was jolted when the very respectable German paper, Deutsche Zeitung: Christ und Welt, "War Hitler ein grosser Man?" (April 18, 1969) dutifully denies that Hitler was great, complains that he ruined Germany, but dares to cite as one small, positive accomplishment of his that he "broke up class structure of bourgeois Germany and created a condition of social equality." In other words, National Socialism, it appears, was an instrument for social democracy, and this is really the most Christian element of democracy! This would represent a huge hunk of good in National Socialism!

General Wedemeyer Also Found Good Aspects

While absorbing this revelation in the anti-Nazi Deutsche Zeitung, I happened to re-read Wedemeyer Reports (Devin-Adair Co., 1 Park Ave, Old Greenwich, Conn. 06870, 497 pages, hardback, $7.50; paperback, $2.95). In it General Albert C. Wedemeyer, a Nebraskan American, whom the U.S. Army sent to study in the German Kriegakademie from 1936 to 1938, who therefore is one of the few Americans who saw Nazi Germany when it was in peaceful operation, before it was distorted by war, made the following observation:

> "There were some aspects of the Third Reich which seemed good at the time -- for example, the public works program; encouragement of the arts, music, and sciences; the building of roads and communications; and cultural opportunities such as travel abroad for underprivileged

people at government expense." (p. 38)

In other words, German National Socialism, the Third Reich, which Talmudists, Communists and our media continue to represent as the very epitome of concentrated evil had in reality some good in it! I cannot help adding here that it also suppressed the greatest contemporary American, Swedish, Danish and West German shame -- and that of the Weimar Republic -- pornography! Nor did it tolerate the effects of pornography -- prostitution, perversion, sodomy! It frowned upon divorce, encouraged marital fidelity. And whatever else Hitler might have been, he was not an adulterer like Roosevelt, who two-timed his wife, with two of his secretaries! Nor like Wilson, whose adultery caused the Jews to blackmail him into appointing Brandeis to the Supreme Court and to railroad the U.S. into World War I!

The Third Reich Needed No Barbed Wire to Keep Its Own People In

Indeed, upon a moment's reflection, we realize with a start that Nazi Germany before the war certainly had nothing like the Berlin Wall to keep its citizens in, nor a barbed wire entanglement -- no Iron Curtain -- around its frontier, through the middle of Europe, all hideous barbarisms which characterize our lend-lease Pals, the Communists! We also recollect that until 1939 people could come and go to and from Germany very much as in the U.S. and Great Britain! But never to and from Soviet Russia, or its satellites, like the Soviet Zone of Germany, hypocritically called the Democratic German Republic.

Most of all, Jews could leave Germany at will -- with their belongings -- and without paying a head tax! In contrast, Soviet Russia, which the media continue to represent as a kind of Utopia compared to Nazi Germany, does not let Jews -- nor any other ethnic groups, not even the remaining Oder-Neisse Germans -- go and come as they please. And they charge huge head taxes for the Jews they do let out! In reality, Hitler permitted them, even encouraged them, to emigrate, precisely what Jews bitterly complain that Soviet Russia denies them!

Yet, these same Jews continue to call National Socialism intrinsically evil, but Communism, but for a few ad hoc inconveniences, relatively good! In short, the Third Reich was a relatively open and exposed society, like our own, while Soviet Russia and its

satellites are barbed-wired in like huge concentration camps!

Will Hitler Like Goldwater be Rehabilitated?

Ever since our media have ganged up to represent President Nixon as liar, thief, and dictator, a veritable Hitler, they also begin to talk of Senator Barry Goldwater as the American ideal of a senator. Recently Walter Cronkite pontificated benignly over Goldwater:

> "If Goldwater now sounds like the voice of moderation and reason, in this current of public confidence perhaps it's because ... he seems to plead no special cause, right now, except frankness and honesty ... Once ... He was ridiculed as an anachronism ... are the times catching up to Barry Goldwater?" (National Review, November 9, 1973)

Goldwater was not only called an "anachronism", he was denounced as a Hitler, a Fascist, and a racist. If "the times are catching up to Barry Goldwater", and rehabilitating him, perhaps the times will by and by catch up with Adolf Hitler, too? That is why I am writing this booklet!

Here is what a few of the great U. S. publicists, who in 1964 still denounced Hitler as an abomination, said of Goldwater. Martin Luther King croaked, "We see dangerous signs of Hitlerism in the Goldwater campaign." If he wins, there will be an "outbreak of violence" by Negroes. George Meany, who is especially down on Nixon now, announced "a parallel between Senator Barry Goldwater and Adolf Hitler." Jackie Robinson said he believes he now knows "how it felt to be a Jew in Hitler's Germany." Drew Pearson, one who didn't believe in the Devil but knew Hitler was the Devil, perceived "The smell of Fascism ... in the air" at the San Francisco Convention. Governor Pat Brown found that "Goldwater's acceptance speech had the stench of Fascism ... All we needed to hear was 'Heil Hitler!'"

And of course C. L. Sulzberger, of the New York Times, which to ruin Nixon made a monster out of the Watergate pecadillo, found that:

> "... in January 1963 a dangerous group of financial supporters including racists and labor-baiters began to push Goldwater's candidacy ... the kind of business backing behind

the Nazis in the early Thirties."

James Reston, another pundit of the New York Times, reminded Goldwater "that most of the extremist tyrants of history, from Caesar and Napoleon to Hitler and Stalin, acted in the name of liberty and justice." (We are indebted for these quotations to National Review, November 9, 1973)

If Hitler Was Like Goldwater, He Must Have Been Pretty Good!

We see that in 1964 the top American opinion-makers equated Goldwater with Hitler, and the Republicans with Nazis and Fascists! And within a few months they so defamed Goldwater that he sank from a Republican hero to a "monster" few dared to name and fewer to vote for! Now, if the moral cripples in our media can do this to a now revered American politician, can anyone doubt that they could and would do worse to a foreign politician with whose country they tricked us into a war? If they could make Goldwater look like Hitler, and the Republican Party like Nazis and Fascists, then one cannot accept their defamations of Hitler nor of National Socialism. Our top opinion-makers during and after World War II, and our top media, outside the "Chicago Tribune", were liars, moral cripples, and prostitutes of Roosevelt and Morgenthau --- and largely still are!

What they have been saying about Hitler and National Socialism, like what they said about the Kaiser in 1919 and Barry Goldwater in 1964, is the crudest, the dirtiest, the vilest character-assassination! Only moral cripples would stoop to it, and only a brainwashed public would believe it.

The U. S. Media and the Public have Traditionally Been Unfair To Opponents

The top U. S. media, possibly because they are dominated by Jews, as their smearing of Goldwater in 1964 and of President Nixon now, and of President Herbert Hoover in 1928, shows, have no tradition of fairness to anyone they hate. They are smear-terroristic liars and slanderers. But, sad to say, they have also in wartime subverted much of the public to a frenzy of prejudice. Even in our Civil War, where Americans fought against Americans, Americans of the North were told and came to believe that

Choctaw County stunk with dead bodies of murdered slaves, and that Southern belles (really sisters of the belles of the North) had worn necklaces strung of Yankee eyeballs! And Northern politicians, like Thaddeus Stevens, said of the Southerners, fellow Americans,

> "Humble the proud traitors, strip them of their bloated estates ... desolate the section ... reduce them to hopeless feebleness."

If Yankees could believe that Southern girls wore necklaces of Yankee eyeballs, would they not even more readily believe that Germans made lampshades out of the skin of prisoners, or that they boiled Jews into soap? If in 1918 they wanted to hang the Kaiser on a sour apple tree, as the monster criminal of World War I, a world leader now universally recognized as one of the most decent and moderate of all War leaders, certainly less dishonest and less vindictive than Wilson, Lloyd George, Clemenceau, and General Foche, is it not likely that for possibly no more justification they would want to hang Hitler, and did in fact at the Nuremberg Trials hang Germans no more guilty than the Kaiser, or Hindenburg, or Ludendorf? Clearly what judgement American pundits and the media and as a consequence, much of the public screeched about the German leaders and people after World War II deserves not to be credited --- and needs to be studied honestly and be revised. This --- and only this --- I am trying to do in this booklet.

Would Hitler Likely Escape the Vile Germanophobia of World War I?

Have you ever heard that Hitler "burned books" and that therefore the Third Reich must be destroyed, its cities bombed, its workmen's homes destroyed by fire bombs, its churches bombed to bits, and a maximum of women and children massacred, as in Dresden? Well, in World War I, after Wilson appointed George Creel as director of public information, really as the government prostitute for publicizing false atrocity stories against the Central Powers, such as that Germans went around slicing the arms off Belgian babies, the allegedly fair-minded American public outlawed the German language from the schools:

> "German music and literature, German church services, the German language, the activities of all German societies ...

> came under the ban of superpatriots ... The "Hun" language was banished from the curriculum ... German books were thrown out of libraries, sold as trash ... or burned in the public square with patriotic ceremonies." (Whittke, German Press, pp. 268-9)

Is it likely that a public that could be propagandized into such a frenzy of hatred of an enemy long since recognized as marvellously chivalrous, would not be whipped to a worse paroxism of hatred and vilification during and after World War II, when in addition to the George Creel type of slanderers the Third Reich and the German people have been exposed to the likes of the Jewish Communist Ilya Ehrenburg, who ordered all German women raped, and Jews like Theodore N. Kaufman (Germany Must Perish), who wanted all German men castrated, and Secretary of the Treasury, Henry J. Morgenthau (Germany Is Our Problem), who wanted all Germans starved to death?

Hate Peddlers Much Worse In World War II Than In World War I

Is it really likely that when such genocidic barbarians swayed Allied propaganda and policy, that Hitler and the Third Reich and the German people could have been given an honest and fair treatment? Obviously when such monsters of slander and vilification and genocide were the darlings of our media fairness to the Third Reich -- to Hitler, to National Socialism -- has had no more chance than the proverbial snowball in hell! I am writing this booklet only to try to start setting the record straight -- no more. I don't want to defend the Third Reich, nor National Socialism, I just want truth and justice for them. Though it be Utopian to hope so --- in as much as even after 2,000 years it still does not grant truth and justice to Jesus of Nazareth, --- I am most eager to have the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai Brith accept my modest and sincere intention!

Crusaders for "Democracy" Permit Every Type of Government Except National Socialism

The one thing the self-acclaimed "Crusaders" for the destruction of Germany proclaimed over and over again was and is that every people has the right to choose what form of government it wants but that no outside power or unpopular armed clique may force its

type of rule on a people. In the third Article of the Atlantic Charter, the top hypocrites of the world, Roosevelt and Churchill, preached "the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they will live"!

But what did they do? As soon as they had forced Unconditional Surrender on the hapless German and Austrian people, the peace dictators violently, without a plebiscite, tore Austrians from Germany and told them they must adopt the Rooseveltian New Deal as their form of government or be starved to death. And again the Western victors, without consulting the German people, told the West Germans under their occupation, that if they do not wish to be exterminated as Nazis or Nazi sympathizers, they must adopt the Rooseveltian New Deal and nothing else.

If Anglo-Americans Could Force Their Government on Germany, Could Not Moscow Impose Its Form of Government?

Is it possible that the Anglo-American peace dictators did not realize that if they claimed the right and duty to force their form of government on the German and Austrian people, under their occupation, Soviet Russia would feel justified to force Communism on its zones of occupation? Could our diplomats have really been so stupid and blind! Or did they secretly, barbarized and bolshevized by Morgenthauism, want to deliver the German people to the Reds? Morgenthau wanted U.S. troops to leave Europe and let Europeans occupy all of Germany, which in effect meant handing it over to the Soviet Russian rapists and burglers. Morgenthau was flanked by Communist Harry Dexter White, and he by Alger Hiss. John Barron (in KGB, N.Y. 1974, p. 188) lists among his catalogue of Red spies in our foreign service, such characters as Ullmann, Reno, Coplin, Gold, Greenglass, Julius and Ethel Rosenberg (whom the media now glamorize), Sobell, Perl, Soble, Wolston, and Alfred and Martha Stern. And such as Klaus Fuchs and Philby for Britain.

No wonder that with characters like that the Anglo-Americans forced the New Deal on West Germany in a way which invited Soviet Russia to force Communism on the eastern half of Germany --- and on Poland, the Baltic States, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, and Yugoslavia! That is the right "of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they want to live" which the "holy" Rooseveltian Crusaders" inflicted on Europe --- and by

on China, North Korea, and North Vietnam!

Since the Victors so Favored the Totalitarianism of Communism, By What Logic Did and Do They Prohibit National Socialism for the German People?

If "liberated", and all other nations can choose the form of government they want, just why can't the Germans choose National Socialism, in preference to the atheistic, barbarous, abominable totalitarianism of Bolshevism the victors not only permitted to them but forced upon them? And upon a dozen unfortunate Baltic and Asiatic peoples?

Let me again explain for the benefit of the Morgenthauistic smear-terrorists, I do not recommend National Socialism or Fascism, I do not advocate it for Germany or for Italy. What I do declare solemnly is that since the Potsdam Pact any impulses of patriotism in West Germany, of honorable nationalism, no matter how timid, are immediately denounced and destroyed by the American, British, Israeli, and Soviet press as "neo-Nazi". And in a fright the bulk of the German press, which the Rooseveltians after the war handed over to exclusively liberal, socialistic, pro-Communistic, virtually treasonable so-called Germans, immediately claques in unison with the Germanophobic international press and chokes off any German patriotic movement. This is what, for example, it did to the NPD (National Democratic Party). This was an absolutely harmless but patriotic and national German party, and its chairman, Adolf von Thadden (whose sister had been executed by the Nazis) was about as anti-National Socialistic as it is possible to be. But he and the party were patriotically German, they wanted a Germany with some national pride, and most of all they wanted to get rid of the Communistic savages in the eastern part of Germany, one half of the total, and reunification in the boundaries Wilson's Fourteen Points and the Rooseveltian Atlantic Charter had guaranteed to the vanquished!

German Patriotism Squashed, Columnated as "Neo-Nazism"

For this the same type of moral cripples who in 1964 equated Goldwater with Hitler screeched day and night about the revival of National Socialism in Germany and howled to have it crushed, even if necessary by having Soviet Russia invoke Articles 53 and 107 and

march into West Germany!

It is because of these very articles that I insist it is necessary to put National Socialism in proper perspective. I solemnly declare that since the Potsdam Pact the victors have not allowed Germany to be patriotic. They have not allowed it to have national pride. They have not allowed it to have a modern army with modern weapons --- and an army without modern weapons is a swindle. It is an invitation to the Soviet Russians to march in.

Any but a Morgenthauist ought to realize that a Germany that is denounced as "neo-Nazi" at every breath of patriotism has no alternative, and only one choice --- to go Communist, to seek its reunification from Soviet Russia, rather than from a United Western Front. At the first sign of a real depression, and as in people's hearts the desire of a reunified Germany grows, (but which they may not express), the German people can only turn to Communism. The West which encouraged the Berlin Wall and accepted the Iron Curtain without a protest, and which calls all real patriotism "neo-Nazi", which staffed its chief papers with virtual traitors, cannot seem to honest Germans as an alternative! Hope remains only if it quickly stops denouncing patriotism as National Socialism and Fascism!

I saw the German dilemma once. In 1931, Germany suffered from the worst depression I ever experienced or read about. The Communists, mostly with Jewish leaders (e.g. Rosa Luxemburg, Karl Liebknecht, Kurt Eisner), were aggressively and ruthlessly advancing their Red barbarism. The only opposition to them was Hitler and his followers. It was clear to me, then a young man, that the German people, to whom America and the West had refused self-determination for Austria, the Sudetenland, Danzig and the Corridor, South Tryol, had only one alternative, Communism or National Socialism.

May I here add that it was at that time impossible to oppose the Red barbarism energetically without being denounced by Communists and Jews as "anti-Semitic". I may add, that still is the case. It happened to Lindbergh, to Father Coughlin, and to Senator Joseph McCarthy!

Thanks to Hitler, Part of Europe is Still Free

It may take another hundred years, or a Third World War with the Communistic monster which the Rooseveltians created, before it will be realized that it was Hitler and his National Socialism to whom, from Spain to England, Western Europe must be grateful for having preserved them at least until now from the Red barbarism. Because the Rooseveltians knocked the sword out of Germany's hand at Stalingrad, half of Germany, all of Poland, the Balkans, the Baltic Republics have since 1945 suffered the frightful agony and tyranny and totalitarianism of the Bolshevik savages to which the Anglo-Americans at the Potsdam Pact betrayed them! That it was Hitler who saved Spain, the western anchor of freedom, from the Bolshevik take-over is already admitted.

Again the German People Must But May Not Choose Patriotism And Freedom

Luckily, as by and by even our journalistic moral cripples will admit, Hitler and his National Socialists and Mussolini and his Fascists in those so critical years for Europe won. But what makes me tremble is the fact that again the German people, normally, has only the choice of throwing off the unpatriotic phony democracy of the Rooseveltians forced upon it in 1945 or to let Soviet Russia engulf it. The present German government, that of Brandt, Wehner, and Bahr, all former Communists and, according to all appearances still more Communistic,than German, in the last two years have sanctioned and ratified the Communist robbery of the Oder-Neisse territories, one-fourth of Germany proper, have betrayed the Sudeten Germans to the Red Czechs, have endangered West Berlin, are paying huge indemnities to the Communists countries to reward them for the most atrocious mass crime in all recorded history, the expulsion, and total robbery, and clubbing to death of 20% of the East Prussians, Pomeranians, Silesians and Sudeten Germans. A government that does that is not for Germany, it is for Soviet Russia!

And the Brandt-Wehner-Bahr collection of former Communists could afford these frightful betrayals of German rights because they knew that Washington, with a co-racialist of Bahr as Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger, and President Nixon bludgeoned into serving Zionist and Morgenthau interests rather than American and Western ones, would be happy if West Germany served "detente" by betraying all of Eastern Germany and all the satellite and enslaved nations!

Germany Being Betrayed Helplessly to Soviet-Russia

The government imposed upon Germany by the Rooseveltians, never truly independent of U. S. Morgenthauism, is, with the additional impulse of the prevaling phony "detente" betraying Germans to Soviet Russia. Had the NPD not been smear-terrorized out of influence some years ago, this would not be happening.

But the frightful condition is that, whereas in 1931 Germany was allowed to chose an alternative to Bolshevism, in 1974 it is damned as "neo-Nazi" if it chooses patriotism and nationalism --- and therefore has no alternative. Its only hope for reunification is surrendering to Soviet Russia!

And let me make it absolutely clear, any patriotic impulse in Germany, any effort for an adequate defence, any sense of national pride is immediately damned by the Bolshevik, Israeli, and American media as "neo-Nazi" deserving of another Nuremberg trial!

To try to expose this suicidal Germanophobic hate propaganda I am writing this booklet. I am not writing it to glorify National Socialism, much less "neo-Nazism", I am writing it to prevent German patriotism and anti-Communism from being smear-terrorized as "neo-Nazi".

Just What is Wrong with National Socialism That is Not Ten Times Worse in Bolshevism?

But to achieve this so critically important fairness in the West, I seem to be able only to do it by asking just what is so wrong in National Socialism that is not ten times more wrong in Bolshevism? Just why at Potsdam did the Western victors tell the Germans on pain of destruction not ever to tolerate or embrace National Socialism, but to be very open-minded about Communism, and in fact ordered the defenceless Germans to accept it as their system of government for the eastern half of Germany!

Why this insane foaming-at-the-mouth hatred of National Socialism? Harry Elmer Barnes, before World War II the great darling of Jews and Liberals, wrote:

> "In short, there is no unique or special case against Nazi barbarism and horrors unless one assumes that it is far more wicked to exterminate Jews than to massacre Gentiles" (Blasting the Historical Blackout, May, 1963, p. 35).

Morgenthau, whose plan envisaged the starving to death of the German race, when the Nuremberg Trials were proposed against Germans, "...would have preferred simply to shoot the arch criminals "; futhermore he wanted "...all members of an organization like the SS (to) be found guilty of a crime against humanity collectively, and punished without further trial "(John Morton Blum, Morgenthau Diaries, Years 1941-45, p. 397). This genocidist, who arrogated to himself the moral superiority to shoot Nazis on sight, said to his Communist assistant, Harry Dexter White:

> "The only thing you can sell me, or I will have any part of, is the complete shut-down of the Ruhr...Just strip it, I don't care what happens to the population... I would take every mine, every mill, and factory and wreck it... Steel, coal, everything. Just close it down...I am for destroying it first and we will worry about the population second (p. 354)

No Nazi Ever As Genocidic As Morgenthau

This is one of the Allied monsters who wanted to kill all National Socialists and all "neo-Nazis"! Had any German, any National Socialist, ever spoken anything as inhumane as Morgenthau spoke on the Ruhr, the Allied hypocrites would have hanged him forthwith. I maintain that no National Socialist, no German before, during, or after World War II ever wanted anything so genocidic, or savage as Morgenthau! Yet, the holy crusaders, who, forbade National Socialism forever and ever, did not move a finger to try and hang Morgenthau! What right had the likes of him to condemn the National Socialists? He was not civilized enough to have the right to kill a jackal in the act of killing a lamb! And that pretty well goes for most of the frenzied slanderers of National Socialism! Harry Elmer Barnes wrote:

> "Extremely severe sentences were imposed on Germans convicted at Nuremberg of "plotting" evil deeds, and it is doubtful if anything more evil than the Morgenthau Plan was ever plotted.(Blasting The Historical Blackout, p. 33)

There wasn't! The Morgenthau Plan, which Roosevelt made official American policy, and which was in effect until 1947, was positively the most genocidic peace plan in all recorded history. And genocidists of this stamp presume to condemn German National Socialism from the face of the earth!

Father Daniel Lyons, S. J., writing in Twin Circle (October 12, 1969), commenting on how the press favorably discussed Ho Chi Minh, "the Joseph Stalin of Vietnam", added that Roosevelt, too, often praised Stalin, and adds, "Stalin killed more people than Hitler by far, but it was not until Khruschev denounced Stalin that the U. S. press stopped praising him."

Stalin At Worst Merely Put Morgenthau To Work

The genocide that Morgenthau plotted on paper (and which we Christians of America managed to keep from full implementation), Stalin put into action. He deliberately starved six million Ukrainians to death, he murdered 2691 priests and 5409 monks, he tore down or converted 15,000 Russian churches to stables at worst and museums at best,[2] he murdered 15,000 Polish officers, P. O. W.'s at Katyn and elsewhere, he slave-labored perhaps two million German P. O. W's to death (of 96,000 captured at Stalingrad only 6,000 returned alive!), he looted and plundered for decades the half of Germany under his administration, and he put under Soviet tyranny half of Germany and seven satellites, his soldiers raped a million German, Austrian, and Hungarian women, and he organized the most bestial mass atrocity in all recorded history, the expulsion of 15,000,000 Oder-Neisse and Sudeten and Balkan Germans, the total robbery of all of their possessions, and clubbing and raping to death of some 20% of them.

To equate such a monster of satanic evil with Hitler is almost as blasphemous as equating Jesus of Nazareth with Genghis Khan! Or his communism with Hitler's National Socialism!

Why Roosevelt And Churchill Hated Hitler And "Loved" Stalin

Yet the holy "crusading" top hypocrites of the world, Roosevelt,

2. See D. Konstantinow, DIE KIRCHEN DER SOWJET-UNION, Munich, 1973, pp. 16, 17, 50.

Churchill and their entourage did not with one word suggest Stalin should be tried as a war criminal, nor did they then or since ever suggest that the Communistic system of government must forever be banned, that it may not be imposed on half of Germany or on the seven or more satellite nations brutally betrayed to the Soviets in the Yalta and Potsdam Pacts!

Someday history will acknowledge what Harry Elmer Barnes wrote in his review of George N. Crocker's Roosevelt's Road To War (Regnery, 1959):

> "The great crime of our age was the British plotting and launching of the war and the American support of and entry into that war. The only adequate bulwarks against Communist expansion were Nazi Germany, Fascist Italy, and Japan. When they were destroyed or defeated there was nothing left of sufficient power to contain Communism."

Supporting this is Dr. Kurt Glaser's sentence, "Nach Stalingrad kaempften die deutschen Truppen fuer Europa --- After Stalingrad the German Wehrmacht fought for Europe"(Der Zweite Weltkrieg, Wuerzburg, 1965, p. 124).

And Roosevelt, without the slightest provocation, knocked the sword from German hands and Unconditional Surrenderized Germany into rubble! Why was he so determined to destroy Germany? To quote Dr. Barnes again in his review of A. J. P. Taylor's Origins of World War II, where in effect Taylor absolved the Third Reich for responsibility for the outbreak of the war, Barnes wrote:

> "There is little evidence that he (Roosevelt) was deeply disturbed by Hitler's anti-Jewish policy; he was much more annoyed by the fact that Hitler's "New Deal" had succeeded in spectacular fashion while his own had failed to bring prosperity to the United States."
> (Blasting The Historical Blackout, p. 30)

National Socialism is Not Like, It Is the Antithesis of Bolshevism

Even Conservatives often talk as if Bolshevism and National Socialism were essentially alike. Many clergymen are prone to this error. Liberals among themselves have a soft spot for Bolshevism and a frenzied hatred of National Socialism and Fascism.

They do recognize these as antidotes to Bolshevism. But when they meet a conservative or a clergyman who fears Communism they quickly tar both with the same brush, as if to say, "After all Bolshevism is only a milder form of Fascism." But the criminal leftists who kidnapped Patricia Hearst did not call Mr. Hearst a Communist, they called him "The corporate chairman of a fascist media empire" (Time February 25, 1974). Even many Germans, seeing how the West appeases and glorifies Communism, want to suggest that it is after all a step-brother of National Socialism. For example, the patriotic "Vereinigung Mitteleuropaeischer Foederalisten", in its Manifesto, April, 1969, says, "Future historians will find no essential difference between brown and red Jacobinism" (p. 5).

Superficial Resemblances of All Governments, of All Dictatorships

The error of those who equate the two is to forget that all systems of governments in part resemble one another. In fact all governments have a chief executive, whether called dictator or not; they have a police force, more or less efficient or severe; they have tax collectors; they have prisons or concentration camps (even the Rooseveltians had the latter); they have a secret service, a spy system; and if state and church are both dedicated, there will be some tension between them. One could go on. Soviet Russia, the Third Reich, and the U. S. had many things in common. That did not make them similar!

Another error is judging a political system while it is at war, while, as in the case of the Third Reich, it was frantically struggling against Unconditional Surrender. For example, when the victors saw any concentration camps it was during the collapse of the Third Reich, when for at least three months it was in chaos, when bombing and strafing had destroyed its system of transportation. Even the civilian population and the army then had suffered from inadequate food. Naturally those in concentration camps during those weeks were not fed as well as the Nisei in the Rooseveltian concentration camps in the unendangered and unbombed West! Things were so chaotic in Germany by the time of surrender that even after Eisenhower had captured Dachau, he kept everybody locked in, 32,000; shortages were so bad that according to Nerin E. Gunn (Day of the Americans) while Americans controlled Dachau, 300 internees starved to death each day, "even more than under the Germans."

Atrocities in War No Gauge of a Political System

The tendency to condemn German National Socialism for all eternity because of alleged atrocities (not even true ones) committed in the extremities of war, of Unconditional Surrender, is perhaps the worst fallacy of the victors. It is in fact itself an atrocity! Did not Roosevelt put 120,000 totally innocent and unindicted Nisei into concentration camps during the war? Does that make the New Deal forever a criminal form of government? When Eisenhower's troops took Dachau, they peremptorily, without warning or trial, murdered the 300 guards there, men whose duty had been no different from that of our guards, including the chief, Milton Eisenhower, in our own concentration camps "(See Gunn, op. cit.) Did this Rooseveltian atrocity invalidate our political system?

I cite Dachau here several times because that is the German concentration camp about which the most grotesque and exaggerated Allied atrocity lies were spread! And in 1949 I visited Dachau myself, and became aware of the frightful Jewish-Bolshevik manufacturing of atrocities (which has since crystallized into the unblushing, oft-repeated swindle of the Six Million Jews "gassed"!). Pastor Edward Winter, a German Lutheran chaplain, in December 1947 was assigned to Dachau. In his published experiences he writes:

> "...precisely in this camp [Dachau] I was again and again reminded of and shocked by the brutality of many 'Christian' Americans. When, for example, the SS-Lazarett was incorporated from Munich to Dachau, which had really nothing to do with the Dachau concentration camp, these Americans shot to death the total Sanitation personnel, over eighty persons. Among them were several nuns and a few amputated patients. They were shot in their beds without any judicial hearing." (Deutsche Wochenzeitung, October 6, 1973).

Pastor Winter puts Christian Americans in quotation marks. That might mean that they were in fact not Christian but Talmudic! But for this the Pastor could have been jailed had he spelled this out! My first comment is, is it any wonder that the Rooseveltians and the Morgenthauists, keep complementing the Bolsheviks in their day by day hammering away at alleged, not proven, German atrocities? Is it not an obvious defamation scheme to cover up their own, quite provable, atrocities? Was that not the purpose of

the Nuremberg Trials, as it also was the purpose of the "Sole Guilt" clause in the abominable Versailles Treaty? Is it any wonder that Jews try to cover up Der Yassin, where they hacked to pieces 250 Palestinians and threw them in wells, in a literally far more bestial atrocity than anybody has even tried to accuse the Third Reich of?

The atrocities I cited here are of course merely a fraction of the totality of atrocities committed by the victors, especially, of course, by our lend-lease pals the Bolsheviks.

Atrocities of the Victors More Numerous and More Brutal Than Those of the Third Reich

The victors have good reason to cover up their mass atrocities by inventing Nazi atrocities, like the most repeated and effective one, that of the 6,000,000 Jews "gassed". At least 500,000 of those "gassed" in German concentration camps are now in Israel drawing huge reparations from West Germany! God knows how many of those "gassed" are running around in New York and helped cause the Energy Crisis by blackmailing Nixon into rushing several billion dollars worth of the latest weapons to help Israel clobber the Arabs! And then, in their media (New York Times, Washington Post, Newsweek, etc.) crying themselves hoarse because the Arabs did what we in June did with soybeans, curtailed their sale of oil!

Atrocities Not Peculiar To National Socialism

Even if the wartime atrocities alleged against the Third Reich, were factual (which they are not), they still would not be valid grounds for rejecting National Socialism. But in the light of the atrocities of the victors, all alleged and real Third Reich atrocities dwindle to fractions of the enormous, historically established mass atrocities of the victors.

Upon being made victorious by the U.S., the present French "democratic" government, rounded up and murdered at least 120,000 patriotic Frenchmen. DeGaulle was president during this massacre, and Jews and Communists controlled the press. The victims of the government of Marshal Petain, when France surrendered, simply felt compelled to form a government acceptable

to the Germans. This is exactly and precisely what the Germans on both sides of the Iron Curtain had to do and did, when the Anglo-Americans and Soviets won. Therefore the French execution of 120,000 ranks with one of the worst mass murders in history, worse than that of the French Revolution, worse than any Germans ever committed on themselves or anyone else!

Does this mass atrocity make the democratic French system of government forever abominable and interdicted?

The Worst Specifically Anglo-American Atrocities

It has been said that when the Americans and British finally invaded Germany and saw what their indiscriminate bombing had done to the beauty that had been Germany, the savage destruction of, not so much factories, but the workingmen's quarters (according to the Lindemann Plan), the rubble made of much of the world's finest architecture, the destruction of more churches, of world famous cathedrals and domes, than any vandals of history except the Bolsheviks had ever destroyed, and most of that after the war was really won, after the Germans had pathetically indicated they would surrender (if only Unconditional Surrender did not include the raping of their women, as Ilya Ehrenberg, the Jewish Soviet Propaganda Minister was ordering the troops to do). Hence the Anglo-American invaders, presumably, had enough decency to reflect in effect as follows:

> My God, what unnecessary barbarism we have been guilty of here in Germany. How can we ever explain it even to our people, when they start coming over here and see it. After all the bulk of them are not Jews, whose Morgenthauism instigated all this, but Christians!
>
> There is only one salvation for us. To manufacture and harp on a mountain of atrocities, especially against the Jews (who control the media). And to publicize this properly we must organize an overpowering spectacle of alleged atrocity trials, for which Nuremberg, the scene of the great Leni Riefenstall Documentry of 1934 is just right. And we must operate on a few ironclad rules:
>
> One, we make our laws as we go along; the words ex post

facto will not be tolerated;

Two, this means we will absolutely prohibit the defence from using the argument that the victors had committed the same deeds;

Three, we will institute a system of blackmail against any German subordinate, threatening him with execution or delivery to the Bolsheviks if he does not testify so as to make his Chief a war criminal;

Four, we will on no account contradict any charges of our fellow prosecutors; if for example, in the unhappy Katyn matter, where the Soviets obviously murdered 15,000 Polish POW's, if they accuse the Nazis of this crime, we will act as if this were a true charge;

Fifth, in short, our only object is to hang as many Germans as we plausibly can, and to blow up real and phony Third Reich atrocities to such monstrous proportions that Allied crimes will not be adverted to, and the dust of deception be thrown forever into the populations of the world, including most importantly, the Germans themselves. The latter must be deluged with a guilt complex which will induce them to pay reparations to Jews and Israel for the next 100 years!

When the victors, including Israel, if they do not "delete any tapes", will finally open their archives to historians, the way the German archives were thrown open, what I put in stark terms above will be confirmed. What I asserted above is what actually was done by and with the Nuremberg Trials; and I insist, injustices like that do not just happen, they are planned that way!

Harry Elmer Barnes has termed the strategy of the victors to justify their crimes by "incessantly portraying the allegedly unique abominations of the Germans.... the new smotherout vintage" ("Public Stake In Revisionism", Rampart Journal, Summer, 1967, p. 32). In the smotherout technique, the victors try to justify their own crimes by accusing the Germans of being guilty of such "brutal outrages" as proved them "such degenerate gangsters" that the Allies "were justified in resorting to any degree of plotting and duplicity..." (Blasting the Historical Blackout, p. 32).

Important to Insist That Allied Crimes Were Ten Times Worse Than Those of the Third Reich

When I call the crimes of the victors ten times more numerous and more vicious than those of the Third Reich, I mean this literally --- and would be willing to stake my life on the truth of it. Dr. Barnes enumerates the worst Allied crimes, and adds that it ill becomes the victors to harp on alleged German ones:

> "Only those committed by the Germans have been subjected to searching investigation and given world-wide publicity.. Even assuming that all the charges ever made against the Nazis by anybody of reasonable sanity and responsibility are true, the Allies do not come off much, if any better."(Blasting the Historical Blackout, p. 32)

In fact they come off shockingly worse! Nobody has ever even accused the Nazis of sniping civilians down in the fields and streets from the air! In his article on "Apocalypse At Dresden" (H. S. Grossman, Esquire, November 1963), writes that after the phosphate and fire bombing of undefended Dresden:

> "....scores of Mustang fighters diving low over the bodies huddled on the banks....in order to shoot them up....Other Mustangs chose as their targets the serried crowd that blacked every road of Dresden....they have become the symbol of Yankee sadism and brutality."

Above I mentioned how German guards were murdered at Dachau. I do not here enlarge on what even Col. Lindbergh lamented, how Eisenhower's "crusaders" liberated(stole) the rings, wrist watches, cameras, radios from the already impoverished German population. And valuable art works from homes and museums; and even Hitler's two autos! But if Rommel's men had done it in France, we would hear about it every day. I personally am only too glad that our "crusaders" did not brutally rape a million German girls as our Lend-Lease pals did; I am glad they only starved them first, (until 1947, while the Morgenthau Plan was in force), and then seduced them with a candy bar!

You may recall the hue and cry that was made when some phony Allied atrocity monger alleged that Ilse Koch had made lampshades out of Jewish skins. The charge was a shameful lie! But not a lie

is the charge (which Lindbergh in his Journal attests to) that our G. I.'s carved letter openers out of the bones of fallen Japanese! Is the United States or the New Deal branded as a criminal regime for that? If Ilse Koch had indeed made such a lampshade, would that in any way be more of an "atrocity" than carving letter openers out of "Jap" skeletons? America has a long way to go if it wants to be even-handed in its judgements regarding World War II!

Worse and More Irresponsible Crimes of the Victors

A far more irresponsible Anglo-American crime was, after German surrender, when military necessity could not be alibied, the dismantling of what German factories remained --- and shipping them to Russia. This implemented the blueprint of the Morgenthau Plan (JCS 1067, JSC 1779), whose real object was the shrinking, the division of Germany, and the depriving the people of machinery, so that they would starve to death --- yet could not be said to have been directly massacred!

One can hardly imagine a barbarism more calloused, in the face of the rubble of ruins in Germany, than to dismantle, to destroy, what few remaining German factories were left --- and give them to the savages that raped a million German women. I don't see how the All-just God will ever forgive the dismantling. First, to say that the Marshall Plan made up for it is false. If there had been no dismantling the Germans would not have needed the Marshall Plan. Secondly, for three to five years the Germans were not only humiliated and robbed by the dismantling, they also suffered the kind of mass starvation on account of it, which drove German girls into seduction and prostitution to keep themselves and families alive. Dismantling was a major war crime, one the Germans in the territories they conquered, like France, did not commit!

The Nastiest Anglo-American Mass Atrocity

But the nastiest, the most heartless, the most inhumane Anglo-American mass atrocity has been labeled by our own State Department as "Operation Keelhaul". Because of the conviction that the Third Reich, that National Socialism was a humane and liberating force, millions of Russians, Ukrainians, Cossacks, and Balts surrendered to the Germans, and over a million asked to fight in

German uniforms for the liberation of their countries from Bolshevism. The Germanophobes hate to admit that the German Wehrmacht really had more of a United Europe in its ranks than any army before. But for Roosevelt and Morgenthau, a basically free, united Europe would have been created. Now half of Europe is behind the Iron Curtain, surrounded by barbed wire --- a mass concentration camp!

Anyhow, Stalin was convulsed with fury at those who preferred Nazi Germany to Bolshevik Soviet Russia. And the Anglo-Americans were unhappy about it, too. Their strategy was to induce with promises of security these Soviet Union people in German uniforms or arms factories to let themselves be captured by the "holy and crusading" Western Allies.

The Treachery of the "Leaflet Operation"

Under the Psychological Warfare Division, labeled "Leaflet Operation", the Rooseveltians dropped six billion leaflets over German lines, urging the Soviet Russians in German uniforms to surrender to the West, solemnly promising them good treatment, and denouncing "as lies the Nazi propaganda that Soviet nationals fighting or not fighting with the Germans would ultimately be forcibly repatriated to the Soviets if they ever surrendered or deserted to the Americans " (Julius Epstein, Operation Keelhaul, 1973, p. 28).

To the everlasting Anglo-American shame, the so-called lying Nazi propaganda was proven right, and the legend on the six billion leaflets a lie and a treachery! When General Vlasov with his army of some 850,000 Russians in German uniforms and other Russians had fought his way out of Czechoslovakia westward and on May 7, 1945, tried to surrender to the Americans, trusting the leaflets, they were disarmed, and forcibly delivered to Stalin, who on August 2, 1946, hanged all the generals and sent the others to Siberia to slave labor camps, from which few returned alive.

Julius Epstein declares:

> "There is no need to demonstrate that the forced repatriation of non-Vlasov men in German POW camps, taken over by the Americans and the British, was illegal andutterly inhuman, contrary to every rule of

war. As for the forcible repatriation of Vlasov and his men, it must be considered illegal..." (p. 27)

This illegal inhumanity, this brutal atrocity, was committed, not by the National Socialists, but by the arch-democrats, the self-appointed "re-educators" of the Germans.. As Solzhenitsyn courageously said, it was an Allied, not a Nazi atrocity! The Anglo-Americans, by clubbing, shooting, even drugging forcibly repatriated millions of people to their death or slavery in Soviet Russia whom they had solemnly pledged to grant asylum!

British and Americans Clubbed the Cossacks Back to Siberia

The Western Allies also even more treacherously and brutally denied asylum to the Cossack contingents in the German Army, General von Pannwitz's division. The trusting Cossacks were so stunned by the treachery that they went on a hunger strike, "We prefer to starve rather than return to the Soviet Union" (Epstein, p. 79). They refused to board the trucks for their repatriation:

> "British soldiers with pistols and clubs began using their clubs, aiming at the heads of the prisoners. They first dragged the men out of the crowd and threw them into the trucks. The men jumped out. They beat them again and threw them onto the floor of the trucks. Again, they jumped out. The British then hit them with rifle butts until they lay unconscious and threw them like sacks of potatoes in the trucks." (See Epstein, op. cit., p. 78)

The Eisenhower "Crusaders" treated the Cossacks no less brutally. And when the Soviets received them they murdered the leaders and enslaved the rest. But the Rooseveltians were not content only to club the European Soviet Russians back to the barbarians of Moscow, they also clubbed, abused, and drugged the hundreds of POW's, Soviet Russians, captured in German uniforms, out of American POW camps, e.g., Ft Dix, New Jersey; Rupert, Iowa; Winchester, Virginia; Doleville, Alabama; and Toronto, Canada. When the Eisenhower "Crusaders" tried to deport one group of 200 to Soviet Russia, they:

> "...were ready to fight for their lives. First, they refused to leave their barracks when ordered to do so.

> The military police then used tear gas, and, half-dazed....[they] were forced to board a Soviet vessel." (See Epstein, p. 103)

In desperation the 200 started to destroy the ship's engine. They were taken from that ship, and resisted boarding another. Then a sergeant:

> "....hit upon the idea of doping the prisoners. Consequently he mixed barbiturates into their coffee. Soon all the prisoners fell into a deep, coma-like sleep. [Thus] the prisoners were brought to another Soviet boat for a speedy return to Stalin's Hangmen." (Epstein, p. 104)

The Third Reich was never guilty of such broken pledges and treachery. Do those guilty of this despicable "Operation Keelhaul" really have the right to denounce German National Socialism as a monster of evil among the world's governments? The Morgenthau Rooseveltians, who threw all the German archives open to a thousand snifflers trying to find some Hitlerian, National Socialistic order for the "gassing" of Jews --- utterly in vain, of course --- have still classified the "Operation Keelhaul" as top secret, after nearly 30 years, to prevent scholars from seeing and revealing its moral turpitude!

But The Chief Western Crime was Abetting the Soviet Monster Atrocities

While the Morgenthau Plan makes America and Britain the top theoretical war criminals of all recorded history --- there is no more genocidic plan in existence --- practically in actual commission, no Western crimes, whether Anglo-American or German, can be compared to the utter bestiality and barbarism of the mass atrocities committed by or under Communistic direction (the shock and protest of us Christian Americans prevented the final and full implementation of the Morgenthau Plan!).

Even to mention Anglo-American or Nazi German crimes in the same sentence with those of the Soviets is a vile slander. It is like equating the Thief on the Cross with Moloch!

Soviet Russians Mass Rapists of the World

The nastiest atrocity in war is rape. It is also the most authentic. With other alleged atrocities, one has a right to ask why they were committed. This question should especially be asked in the case of alleged crimes against Jews. Perhaps there was a military necessity! But there is never a military necessity for rape. It is barbarism and savagery undisputed. This is an atrocity the German Wehrmacht did not commit, nor the SS. In fact, no large army in the world has been more decent in that respect than the German Army. In contrast the Soviet Russians have been the foulest mass rapists in European history. And it was an official, non-repressed atrocity.

The Jewish propaganda Minister Ilya Ehrenburg kept broadcasting to the tooops as they approached Germany:

> "Kill. In Germany, nothing is guiltless. Neither the living nor the yet unborn....Crush forever in its den the fascist beasts. Violently break the racial pride of the German woman. Ravish them as booty. Kill, you gallant Red soldiers!"

It took a vindictive Jewish Communist to give the most beastly directive in history: "Rape the German women as booty!" It matches the most genocidic book in history, Theodore N. Kaufman's Germany Perish --- castrate all Germans; and the most genocidic official peace plot in history, also by a Jew, the Morgenthau Plan! It is no wonder that Jews and Israelis try to distract from their genocidic plots by manufacturing the swindle of the Six Million!

In line with the Morgenthau spirit and Ilya Ehrenburg's directive, when the Red soldiery captured the first German village, in East Prussia, Nemmersdorf, they murdered every last German villager. And they raped to death every German woman and girl from eight to eighty. And that in the most bestial manner recorded in history! They stripped several of them, crucified them to barn doors, and then raped them to death! (See "Red Genocide in German Village", p. 6, Boniface Press, 25¢)

Bestial Rape from Berlin to Vienna and Budapest

Leaders of civilized countries fear the propensity of their

soldiers to theft, murder, and particularly rape; and try to prevent these. Soviet Russia, under its Jewish propaganda Minister Ilya Ehrenburg is both the first professed atheistic country in the world --- and probably also the first that virtually commanded its army to rape! The mass rape stretches from land to city, from Koenigsberg and Breslau, to Berlin and Vienna.

Time Magazine (April 1, 1966), reviewing Cornelius Ryans's The Last Battle, said how among the 2,000,000 women left in Berlin, when the Red Army approached "the fear of sexual attack raced through the city like a plague." When the Reds had taken the city:

> "Rape, plunder and suicide became commonplace.... All told, the number of rape victims in Berlin --- ranging from women of 70 to little girls of ten -- will never be known, although Ryan reports estimates from doctors that run from 20,000 to 100,000."

Having been raped is one thing a woman does not like to talk about --- therefore the figure of 100,000 should almost certainly be doubled or tripled. Some women were raped from forty to seventy times -- many until they were dead.

When the Red savages took Vienna, a harmless city of song and waltz, according to Cardinal Griffin, 100,000 women were raped many times. John Dos Passos, as a correspondent for Life Magazine (January 7, 1946), reported:

> "The Viennese tell you of the savagery of the Russian armies....Even in the working-class districts the troops were allowed to rape and murder and loot at will."

Cardinal Mindszenty (Tablet, March 2, 1946) reported that in Budapest:

> "Bishop William Apor of Gyoer, and 53 priests were killed by the Russians when he tried to protect a group of women and children who had taken refuge in his palace."

Here alone the Reds killed some ten times more priests than the Nazis executed for what they believed to be treason! (See "Ravishing the Women of Conquered Europe," Boniface Press, 15¢).

Will any honest man claim that in the face of this Red bestiality the U. S. should allow and encourage Communism in half of Germany and a Communist Party in West Germany, but should forever under pain of death and destruction forbid the Germans ever to allow a National Socialist Party, or a "neo-Nazi" one! At the Potsdam meeting, only a manure pile of the most shameful hypocrites the world has ever seen could have favored Communism over National Socialism!

The Crime of Slave-Laboring German POW's

The frantic concern of Americans for the speedy return of American POW's from the North Vietnamese, and that of the Israelis for the return of their POW's in Syria, shows that a top mark of civilized warfare is the speedy return of prisoners of war as soon as an armistice is signed. Did the holy crusading victors, the self-appointed "re-educators" of the Christian German people, speedily return the millions of German prisoners of war? No, they did not! They made sure to get their own men home, even in "Operation Keelhaul" repatriating by force those who did not want to return. But with regard to German prisoners the "re-educators" of Germany retrogressed into the barbarism of 500 years ago. In recent centuries only the crusading Unconditional Surrenderists have been degenerate enough to do it. This happened when our government, according to Senator James O. Eastland, was "under the control of Mr. Baruch and Mr. Sidney Hillman and Mr. Morgenthau" (March 26, 1946). In September, 1944, Morgenthau and Roosevelt, at the Quebec Conference, bribed Churchill to insist on "forced labor outside Germany," for German POW's.

This from genocidists and hypocrites who thought it noble to acquiesce in Communism but crucify everything National Socialistic! At Yalta Stalin promptly demanded "10,000,000 such slaves to work in Russia after the war for an indefinite period" (Peace Action, May, 1945).

Allies Have No Alibi For Abusing German POW's

This Morgenthau-inspired Allied paganism of employing German POW's as "forced labor outside Germany" resulted in the starvation and abuse-unto-death of possibly several million German POW's. Britain, more so France, less so but also the U. S., got into the

act of slave-laboring German prisoners of war instead of living up to the Geneva and Hague rules of land warfare. But of course, Christians at their worst are not as barbarous as Communists and Jews at their average. The real savage and abuse-unto-death of German prisoners of war occurred in Soviet Russia as prescribed by the Jewish U. S. Morgenthau Plan.

Nor can the Allies use as an alibi that Nazi Germany treated its POW's badly or in violation of the Geneva Conventions of 1929 and 1932. On March 17, 1945, our War Department declared, "For the most part, the Germans have abided by the Geneva Convention regarding prisoners of war." George C. Marshall, when an American Legion Commander demanded brutal treatment of German POW's, on January 5, 1945, answered that we are bound by the Geneva Conventions "and our soldiers in German hands receive generally reciprocal treatment" (National Legionnaire, February 1945).

After Unconditional Surrender, Allies Abused German POW's

As soon as the "wicked" Nazis had freed Allied POW's, the Allies, all of them, started abusing, starving, over-working their prisoners of war. The U. S. kept only 300,000 and, to its everlasting shame, delivered 100,000 a month to the French for abuse. They had also refused to accept the surrender of millions of German soldiers, in order to betray them, instead, to Soviet Russian slave labor!

On June 1, 1946, Pope Pius XII for the second time deplored "the hundreds of thousands of men still held prisoners." Their rights "cry to heaven...and demand that an end be put to this regime of of prisoner of war and concentration camps." Christians in this country agreed --- and protested. The Chicago Tribune, in an editorial, "Uncle Sam: Slave Dealer" (February 20, 1946), wrote:

> "The United States, by turning over the prisoners [to France and Britain] becomes a common slave dealer....There has never been in the history of Christian civilization a parallel to the actions against its conquered enemies of which this nation has been guilty."

What Right Have These Allied Slavers To Condemn National Socialism

I ask, do the slave-laboring, POW-trading Allied barbarians of 1945 have a moral right to judge German National Socialism, to ban and forbid it in every form for all eternity? I say they do not have that right. They have more of a duty to hang themselves as war criminals!

Our army, its leaders generally having been civilized, on V-E Day "informed its German prisoners that the Geneva Convention called for their repatriation as soon as feasible after the end of hostilities " (Newsweek, May 21, 1945). That is what our army and our Christian citizens wanted and would have done. What prevented this humanity was the tribalists that keep screeching the lie that the Germans "gassed" 6,000,000 of them. It was the Jews who kept screaming for abusing German prisoners of war, for keeping them from home, for slave-laboring them. Walter Winchell, the most influential of all the Jewish genocidists at the time, lumping all German POW's together as Nazis, screeched:

> "Sending back healthy Nazis to live in Germany is an insult to living and dead American soldiers. Those Nazi prisoners deserve to be put behind bars for the rest of their ignoble lives --- like all vicious and hardened criminals." (San Antonio Light, May 30, 1945)

The Voice and Power of the Talmudists

There is the voice of the Talmudists, the barbarians of the Morgenthau Plan! These were the voices that made America guilty of vindictive peace making, in comparison to which all the peacemaking of the National Socialists was reasonable and humane. After this Jewish blast from Winchell, the Army quailed and started to abuse its 300,000 German POW's and to slave-labor them. Only after September 6, 1946, did Secretary of State James Byrne, a Christian, not a Morgenthauist, declare that the U.S. is finally "taking prompt steps to return German prisoners of war in our custody...".

But our slave-laboring for a year and a half signalled a green light to the top barbarians of our time, the Soviet Russians to labor to death millions. One year after Unconditional Surrender,

a Berlin AP Dispatch, May 18, 1946, reported that 1,500,000 German POW's were still missing in Soviet Russia! Whereas 99% of American POW's in Germany were returned safe and sound in a matter of weeks, that is what Morgenthauism, as Winchell wanted it, encouraged Moscow to do!

The Big Three at Potsdam Decree Expulsion!

Aloisius Cardinal Muench, then bishop of Fargo, North Dakota, wrote,"The forced migration of millions of people is the greatest crime of this age. There is nothing in all history to equal it". Even Churchill, fellow conspirator of it, at last called it a "Tragedy on a prodigious scale." That was the total robbery and the bludgeoning to death of 20% and expulsion from lands they and their fathers had cultivated for seven to eight centuries! It was the greatest mass atrocity in history --- and it was precipitated by Truman, Attlee, and Stalin at the Moscow Conference! Truman and Attlee, however, merely confirmed what Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin had conspired at Yalta!

Ironically, at the very time the Nuremberg legal lynchers were prosecuting Germans who allegedly, "forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists", the Big Three at Potsdam ordered:

> "That the transfer to Germany of German populations or elements thereof, remaining in Poland, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary, will have to be undertaken."

This enforced, and shockingly brutal "transfer" involved millions of innocent Germans (against whom no charges were ever levelled), in comparison to a relatively orderly transfer by Nazis of, not millions, but by the Allies own admission of only thousands. Such a transfer, really an exchange of thousands, has long had international sanction. It especially happened a lot in the Balkans after World War I. It involved a transfer, and exchange, of habitat and of property, not a total robbery!

But the Potsdam order opened the sluicegates to the most massive, totally unprincipled robbery, expulsion and murder in the pages of history. It precipitated the robbery and expulsion of 15,000,000, not thousands, and the bludgeoning, raping, and freezing to death

of 2,500,000 men, women, and children from East Germany and Czechoslovakia, plus a half million from the Balkans, chiefly from Tito's Yugoslavia!

Who Made the Atrocity of Mass Expulsion Accepted Practice?

After World War I, such mass expulsions were not even thought of. When the Versailles peace dictators handed the Germans of Danzig and the Corridor to Poland they did not even dream of expelling these ancient German natives; nor when they handed a quarter of a million South Tyrolese Germans over to Italy, nor three and a half million Sudeten Germans to Czechoslovakia, they did not for a moment think of instituting a mass expulsion atrocity which even surpassed the worst committed by Pagans.

Who put this atrocity principle into their heads and made it acceptable? Why the same Talmudists who try to cover up their atrocity tracks by jammering that the Nazis "gassed" 6,000,000 Jews! Their conspirational blueprints for atrocity against the Germans, affecting mostly not the Nazis but the Christian Germans, are so frightful, so brutal, so genocidic to millions and millions of innocents that they have to try with lies and deceptions, and half-truths and swindles, as that of the 6,000,000, to cover their tracks. They were at the bottom of every mass atrocity that made the peace of Yalta and Potsdam, as Time Magazine called it, "history's most terrifying peace"! It is the peace which in the Atlantic Charter, in 1941, had been prophesied as the most humane!

Mass Expulsions A Morgenthau Policy

The Potsdam official order for the mass atrocity of total robbery and expulsion got its birth and its sanction in the Morgenthau Plan. In this Morgenthau, Baruch, and Communist Harry Dexter White demanded that the millions of peaceful inhabitants of the Ruhr, Germany's most industrialized area, be totally robbed, their mines and factories destroyed, and then clubbed into Southern Germany, there, by implication, to starve to death. At Quebec, Morgenthau got Roosevelt to make this plan official, and both bribed Churchill, who at first resisted, into adopting it. In England Morgenthau got Eisenhower to approve it, salving his conscience by passing all Germans off as "paranoiacs"! According to Robert Welsh (The Politician, p. 26) it was "just one man, James Forrestal (whom

the Communists later, either directly or indirectly murdered), "who prevented Eisenhower and his Communist pushers" from destroying Germany forever with the Morgenthau Plan.

Potsdam Conference Opened Gates to Genocidic Expulsionists

The Potsdam Conference elevated brutal expulsions to acceptable policy. The Bulgarians, Rumanians, Hungarians all jumped on the bandwagon to totally rob, drive out, their German minorities, some of them like the Siebenbuerger Saxons there since Columbus discovered America, the majority at least as long as American Independence. The most bestial was Tito of Yugoslavia, who had hundreds of thousands bludgeoned to death during the genocide. Perhaps that is why in Jewish and liberal circles Tito is ever glorified! Compared to his bestialities anything that can be alleged against Hitler is boy scout stuff!

More massive and almost as bestial was what Benes, another leftist idol, and Masaryk did to the 3,500,000 Sudeten Germans, whose ancient lands had in the Munich Pact, properly, if belatedly, been incorporated into Germany. They therefore were German citizens. But Benes and his Reds not only totally robbed all of them, drove them into Bavaria and Austria with only a suitcase of belongings, but murdered most bestially 2,250,000 of them. They drove women into the river to drown, ripped babies apart and hurled the parts at them, shot down men or clubbed them to death. What the Czechs did to the Sudeten Germans is alone far worse in numbers and brutality than anything the Nazis are accused of having done to Jews!

Oder-Neisse Expulsion Worst Mass Atrocity in History

As soon as the Potsdam Pact gave them the Red light, the Poles drove out the several million Germans in the areas given them after World War I, mostly in violation of Wilson's principle of self-determination. They totally robbed and murdered thousands of them.

But once feeling that the Morgenthau Plan and Potsdam Pact had elevated genocidic expulsions to respectability, they and the Soviet Russians also proceeded to expel the 9,000,000 Germans, who, since time immemorial haddeveloped East Prussia, Pomerania,

West Prussia, and Silesia. These lands were German, they were only put under Russian and Polish administration; they were so indisputably totally German that even the hypocrites who committed the Versailles Treaty did not question their total Germanity! Nor did the expulsionists make a contrary claim, nor accuse them of any wrongdoing. They expelled them merely on racist grounds, for their ethnic Germanism!

All the wrongs done to American Negroes in 300 years, or to Jews in 1000 years of German history do not equal the genocide, the barbarity, the brutality, the inhumanity of these Oder-Neisse expulsions. The genocidists plausibly believed their genocide sanctioned by the American Morgenthau Plan and Truman's signature on the Potsdam Pact!

Driven Inhabitants on Short Notice Totally Robbed, 20% Killed in The Process

The inhabitants of the Oder-Neisse territory were on short notice ordered to the market place, told to leave doors open and cows and horses unattended, and take along only a small suitcase of clothes. At the market place they were ordered to trek on foot towards Western Germany. In often the bitter cold of winter, scantily dressed, they trekked on foot. And on the way Poles and Russians would fall upon them, take from their suitcases what suited them, often ripping clothes from their bodies. Often the genocidic beasts would rape women and children and in one way or another murder 20% on the way, a proven 2,100,000 of them. This horrendous number of murdered victims does not include those maimed and disabled for life. The Oder-Neisse expulsion of nine million Germans from their anciently settled homes is the most numerous crime of racism, of genocide, in all recorded history. It surpasses ten fold whatever wrongs Jews may have suffered from the Nazis. And most Jews who suffered were in some way guilty--as partisans, or spies, or saboteurs --- whereas the German expellees were not charged with any wrongdoing.

Jews who keep caterwauling about the wrongs the Nazis did to them should reflect that this most massive genocidic atrocity in history against the East Germans must in all honesty be held to have been instigated by the Germanophobic Jewish publicists and politicians, like Ilya Ehrenburg, Henry Morgenthau, Harry Dexter White, Bernard Baruch (who vehemently supported the Mor-

enthau Plan), and Theodore N. Kaufman, to name the worst ones. The Jewish media, the New York Times, the Washington Post and the rest, supported the Germanophobic genocide. These papers, unbelievably, even were sympathetic to Theodore N. Kaufman's book demanding the sterilization of all German males, so as to exterminate the German race in two generations!

The Potsdam Pact Prohibits a German Army and National Socialism

The same Potsdam Pact, whose signers on the basis of their expulsion order alone, ought to have been hanged as war criminals, forbade German militarism and German National Socialism with brimstone and hellfire. And they threatened prison for every member of the National Socialist Party. Justice Robert H. Jackson, chief U. S. prosecutor at Nuremberg, even wanted 7,000,000 German soldiers tried and presumably hanged for membership!

On July 17, 1945, Truman, Churchill, Attlee, and Stalin met near Potsdam to dictate the fate of Germany. Because they had forced the German people to surrender unconditionally, they acted as if the Germans had no rights, apparently not even the right to object to the rape of their women. The four did not even give lip service to Wilson's "open covenants openly arrived at". Such high flown idealism had served Allied statesmen only to trick and swindle the American people into both the first and second "crusades" against the German people. After the trick had succeeded, they changed their policy to killing as many Germans before an armistice, including sniping women and children down from the air, as they could. After armistice their policy was to starve, drive from their homes, seduce or rape as many as possible, and hang as war criminals as many leaders as the Christian world would tolerate!

The Vanquished Have No Rights, Not Even to an Army, Or the Party They Wish

So at Potsdam the great "democratic" dictators of the peace proceeded on the principle that the vanquished have no rights and that the victors are morally commissioned by their genius, not by God --- for the Stalinists were virulent atheists -- to tell the Germans how forever they must be governed and what form of government they may have, as if they were little children! The govern-

ment they forced on the German people, democratically, must forever suffer "complete disarmament and demilitarization" and never have any "industry that could be used for military purposes" (the Morgenthau Plan!) and must concentrate on "the development of agriculture and peaceful domestic industries" (also the Morgenthau Plan) --- in short they prescribed knitting and potato growing for a country since 1945 half the size of Texas with six times as many people! In short, it was the Morgenthau Plan couched in hypocrisy, to exterminate the German people by starvation!

Hypocritical Mouthing of Ideals

Ad nauseam are repeated such phrases as "equal rights for all citizens without distinction of race, nationality or religion". And this properly translated means, "Don't ever criticize Jews and be sure to give them every possible advantage"! But forbidden to all the German race were "all types of aircraft and sea-going ships" and the "production of metals, chemicals, machinery". Here again is the Morgenthau Plan showing through --- proposing to starve the German race out on a cow pasture!

But where that parcel of peace-dictating war criminals --- New Dealers, monarchists, and rank Bolshevistic atheists --- displayed their self-righteous idealism was in their condemnation of National Socialism and their prohibiting it to the German people in all eternity on pain of even a more genocidic treatment than they were already meting out to the German race.

The Hypocrites Take Aim at National Socialism

The holy crusading peace dictators at Potsdam state their purpose in occupying Germany as follows:

> "To destroy the National Socialist Party....to dissolve all Nazi institutions, to ensure that they are not revived in any form, and to prevent all Nazi and militarist activity or propaganda".

They mean to control German education so as "completely to eliminate Nazi and militarist doctrines." And all "Nazi leaders, influential Nazi supporters.... shall be arrested and interned." Apparently for such National Socialists "equal rights without distinction of race, nationality or religion" were not to be granted: they were to be arrested for no other reason than for holding a political philosophy, one intrinsically anti-Communistic.

At the same time "all Nazi laws which ... established discrimination on grounds of race, creed or political opinion shall be abolished." Yet, these hypocrites, while pretending to protect "political opinion", want to arrest anyone who holds the political opinion of "National Socialism"!

The Skunk in the Woodpile Appears in the Words on German "Militarism"

But what has made the development of a truly self-reliant, independent Germany virtually impossible is the prohibition "completely to eliminate Nazi and militaristic doctrines" and "to ensure they are not revived in any form." This means that the victors could at any time label anything truly patriotic and self-reliantly German as "neo-Nazi". It should also be clear that if the Western Allied arrogated unto themselves the power to enforce their sort of "democracy" on the helpless West Germans, the Soviet Russians would take that as a green light to subject the Soviet Zone Germans to their own abominable form of Bolshevism!

America's business was to insist that the Germans had the right and duty to assume whatever form of government they wished, including, absolutely, also National Socialism or what is called fascism! It should also be obvious that as long as America can tell Germany what type of government it may have and what sort of political parties it may have, so long is West Germany its satellite, just as the miscalled German Democratic Republic is Soviet Russia's satellite!

Neither Germany nor the Third Reich Uniquely Militaristic

The whole clamor against German militarism only masks the Allied determination to keep Germany weak. And it has nothing to do with National Socialism. After WWI, the Allies also prohibited a German navy and army (except for a token force of 100,000). National Socialism had not been born then. After WWII, the Rooseveltians also prohibited an army and a navy to Japan (not because Japan was National Socialistic, but because it, too, was strong.

To deny an army and a navy and an air force to Germany (or Japan) is first of all a monstrous crime. Secondly, it is an even greater stupidity. On September 26, 1973, Congressman Daniel J. Flood of Pennsylvania said in Congress:

> "The historic functions of Germany and Japan have been to serve as dikes against Russian expansion in Europe and in the Far East, respectively. WWII destroyed those two great bastions against Soviet expansion in what eventually became one of the most extensive series of territorial conquests that the world has ever known. It was aimed at setting up a global system of socialistic republics.
>
> "In Europe, the donation of 10 formerly independent nations, through secret agreements by pro-Red forces in Washington, assured Soviet domination in East Europe..."

Congressman Flood puts his finger on the right spot when he blames this stupidity on the "pro-Red forces in Washington"!

Self-Defeating Stupidity

One proof of a stupidity (and of a crime) is that it proves to be unenforceable or impractical. Hardly had the Rooseveltians forced permanent total disarmament on Germany, when the Communists started re-arming their Soviet Zone and instigated the Korean War! And the U. S. which in 1945 had threatened to execute any German with a gun, by 1949 practically threatened West Germans with concentration camps unless they would agree to re-arm! Not of course, to re-arm effectively, so as really to defend themselves and liberate their enslaved lands, no, only sufficiently to become infantry for the U. S. and NATO, in short, only sufficient to be eligible as future war criminals! Modern weapons, which must include nuclear weapons, they are still denied. That is why, and it is the only reason, why West Europe cannot defend itself and needs a contingent of American troops stationed there!

The long and short of it is that a Germany that may not have a modern army, navy, and air force is an invitation to Soviet Russian domination. And it also was so before Hitler re-armed Germany.

The Truth About German or National Socialist Militarism

Neither Imperial Germany nor National Socialist Germany was uniquely militaristic; neither had as large a peace-time army as its nearest neighbors, France and Russia. What the Allies like to mistake for militarism is the bravery and patriotism of the German Army, which in a war fights more efficiently than its opponents. When 5,000 Israeli defeat 25,000 Arabs, the media do not attribute it to Israeli militarism, but instead moon about the wonderful heroism and efficiency of the Israeli soldiers. But when Germany with 4,000,000 men throws back 15,000,000 French and Russian and British, it is due to robot militarism!

It is forgotten that peace-time U.S., before WWI, had no conscription, and a very small army, but once in war and mobilized only the German army could match it as a fighting force. Did that make America a militaristic robot? Obviously not. It merely proved that a highly civilized nation with sufficient patriotism can in a few months convert into a powerful military machine. So it was with Hitler's Third Reich. Who can build the best cars and the best expressways can also build the best tanks and shoot the straightest! And to deny a highly civilized country a modern army, navy, and air force is to court and deserve a world war!

National Socialism, the Third Reich, Was not as Militaristic as Most of Its Neighbors

For the peace dictators to try to equate National Socialism with militarism reveals their dishonesty and their hatred of a strong Germany. But it is no more right to prevent Germany from being as strong as its intrinsic virtues make it than it would be to clobber America because it claims to be the strongest nation on earth. To check German strength was the basic and criminal cause of both world wars.

-When Hitler in 1939 decided to liberate the Danzigers by force--after a wait of 20 years for Allied justice --- he had an army much smaller than that of France, and less than half of that of Soviet Russia. And Britain and America had each three times as powerful a navy and as much of an air force.

Even during the first three years of the war Hitler did not mobilize Germany as fully as France, Russia, Britain, and America

mobilized. Albert Speer (Inside The Third Reich, Macmillan, 1970, pp. 220-21) complains that even as of April 1943, Hitler did not permit the drafting of women for industry. More of them were employed in 1918 than in 1942![3].

It seems literally true that Hitler was a man of architecture and art, not of armaments and war. He was not eager to mobilize, but reluctant.

Who Bears Most Guilt for World War II?

The victors in desperation to find actual German atrocities equal to those of the victors themselves take refuge in the accusation, more easily made plausible without proof, that Hitler and National Socialism are "solely guilty" of WWII. At Versailles, without a shred of proof, they accused Imperial Germany of the same thing. The scoundrels and hypocrites thus try to justify their own very provable guilt and mass atrocities!

To blame the Third Reich they absolutely reject causes, and want to concentrate only on who fired the first shot. When the Israelis fired the first shot in 1967, the journalistic lepers quickly exonerate them by alleging Arab threats. But the fact that after 20 years, the Poles, with the connivance of Britain and America, refused to give self-determination to the Danzigers, they refuse to recognize as justifying Germany finally to walk in and free them by force. It was a justifiable "police action", not a world war!

---

3. According to Deutsche Wochen Zeitung (February 8, 1974), President Nixon in a conference with his closest advisers, indicated he had read Speer's Memoirs and called attention to Speer's technique of mobilization. Columnists Evans and Novak got wind of this and professed shock! Translating the German version back to English, they wrote, "According to the unwritten law of American politics it has always been a sacrilege, to praise Hitler-Germany on the public stage in any manner whatsoever." What a devastating comment on American fairness, on our freedom of the press, on our decency to a defeated enemy! It also should prove that this booklet, A STRAIGHT LOOK AT THE THIRD REICH, is long overdue!

To blame the war on the first shot is like blaming the police for firing first when they surprise a gang robbing a bank!

Once and for all, Hitler was morally not only justified in finally resorting to force to liberate Danzig, but had the duty to do so, which every leader has to come to the aid of his oppressed fellow countrymen. Inasmuch as Roosevelt's treachery eventually caused him to fail in his gallant attempt, Hitler was strategically wrong. One has no right to use force, if there is no prospect of success. But that is a matter of hindsight. The truth is that in WWII the Third Reich fought for justice, and the Allies fought to prevent justice --- and their own Wilsonian self-determination! In Hitler's later pre-emptive attack on Soviet Russia, he was far more justified than the Israelis in their allegedly pre-emptive attacks on Egypt in 1956 and 1967. Hitler then also fought to protect Europe more than just Germany. Let who denies this look at the map that resulted when Roosevelt knocked the sword from Hitler's hand at Stalingrad --- with 11 billion dollars worth of lend-lease trucks and armaments! Half of Europe and half of Asia now squirm and languish under the Communistic terror!

Nor Was National Socialism Intrinsically Racist

In addition to alleged militarism the Potsdam Pact for all eternity bans National Socialism for its racism, that is, in reality for its confrontation with Jews. While it is true some National Socialists often condemned Jews by lumping all of them into a common racial denominator, the easily provable fact is that National Socialism wanted to be rid of its Jews, have them emigrate, not essentially on racial but on ideological grounds. It considered Talmudic Jews to be subversive, pro-Communistic, anti-German.

Hitler himself clearly so expressed himself. He told the Nobel prize winner Max Planck:

> "I have nothing at all against Jews themselves. But the Jews are all Communists, and these are my enemies--it is these that I am fighting....all Jews stick together like burrs...It is up to the Jews themselves to draw a dividing line between the different kinds. But they have not done that, and therefore I must proceed uniformly against all Jews." (See "Max Planck and Adolf Hitler", Bulletin of American Association of University Professors, Autumn, 1959, p. 439)

In other words, his attitude is not racial, it is not anti-Semitic, it is anti-Talmudic, just as Christ was when he called such Jews liars and the offspring of Satan!

The most conclusive proof that Hitler and National Socialism were not racist, were not in the true sense anti-Semitic, is that the Third Reich treated Christianized Jews preferentially. The National Socialist Third Reich carefully distinguished between Talmudic and Christianized Jews! Even Talmudic Jews admit this. Philip Freedman (Their Brothers' Keepers, N.Y. 1957) inadvertently shows that the Nazis were not anti-Semitic but anti-Talmudic. He writes:

> "In view of the fact that baptized Jews were exempted from the Nazi-like decrees, 4,500 Jews embraced Christianity." (p. 73)

On February 27, 1943, when 10,000 Jews were to be deported from Berlin:

> "The Christian wives of those arrested were able to wring concessions from the Nazis, who released the men." (p. 93)

The Rooseveltians did not similarly spare Christian Nisei and Issei fromthe West Coast concentration camps!

Even Phony "Baptism " Saved Jews from Concentration Camps

On July 12, 1944, the Nazis ordered that Jewish converts could "found their own organizations, Union of Baptized Jews. More than 70,000 received membership certificates ... and thus were saved from deportation" (p. 86). Freedman further reveals:

> "One tactic that proved successful was the veritable epidemic of 'mercy baptism' .... Another was mixed marriages .... After 1941 conversions were administered on a mass scale with both parties tacitly agreeing that the convert could renounce his vow after the war." (p. 105)

Not surprisingly the government eventually "placed a deadline on conversions." It will be recalled that the whole tragedy of the

Spanish Inquisition was caused by such phony conversions!

In Lwow (Lemberg) after the Nazis occupied the city, Freedman reports that "no less than 4,000 Jews attempted to evade the German net by baptism" (p. 125). Even Drew Pearson, a congenital liar and German hater, married to a Jewish wife, reported that from Nazi-occupied Romania, Jews "could leave as Christians, but not as Jews. So overnight .... bishop Roncalli made them Catholics" ("Pope a Rebel", December 5, 1959).

It is important to emphasize that Jews saved by Baptism were saved from being interned in concentration camps. There was never a question of their being intended for "gassing". Only the guilty imagination of the victors and Talmudists could suppose the National Socialists to have been as genocidic as they themselves proved to be. The Nazis had more right to put Jews in concentration camps as "potential dangers", than Roosevelt had to put Nisei in concentration camps!

Hitler and Third Reich Basically Christian

Furthermore, the fact that Hitler and the Third Reich gave immunity to baptized, to Christianized Jews, should once and for all demolish the vile slander that National Socialism was intrinsically against Christianity. It was not. National Socialism was fundamentally, positively Christian. In a conference with Friedrich Christian Prinz zu Schaumburg-Lippe, Hitler declared that a confrontation between religion and politics is damaging to both, that the State needs "religious citizens as the foundation for a moral and clean society", but that "it is unchristian to abuse religion in the interest of politics or economics." Further:

> " It is Christian teaching which gives the people the necessary firmness of Belief .... His Party therefore did not wish to place boundaries too narrow but espouses 'positive Christianity' ... a Christianity not confessionally tied down .... To know this Christianity as strong, was for him of the greatest importance." (Quoted from Wer War Hitler? by H. S. Ziegler, Tuebingen, 1970, pp. 140-1)

It is pitiful how American clergymen, Protestant and Catholic, preached that America must help the Bolsheviks pulverize Germany because the Third Reich and Hitler were anti-Christian. It shows how

brainwashed even sincere people can become. Soviet Russia was openly atheistic, it totally destroyed our converted to garages or museums thousands of Christian churches, the Third Reich none. Yet these Christian clergymen, either brainwashed or prostituted, thundered against the Third Reich and glamorized the Soviet Union!

Between a Strong Regime and a Strong Church Always Some Tension

Certainly there was often tension between the Nazi government and the Christian, especially the Catholic Church. And Msgr. Neuhaeusler and Pastor Niemoeller were put into the Dachau concentration camp. But the Soviets murdered some 7,000 priests and bishops! And would not Roosevelt have put Father Coughlin into prison if he had not been able to browbeat his bishop to forbid him to write and preach! And he did in fact incarcerate some such noble clergymen as Lutheran Pastor Kurt Molzahn of Philadelphia!

The Catholic Church never flourished more than in the Third Reich. And when the bishops protested a projected government policy of euthanasia the "wicked" Nazi government listened to the bishops and rescinded the policy. Has our Supreme Court and our government so far rescinded its inhumane, shameful policy of abortion, in line with our bishops' protest? No. On the contrary they keep saying the Churches, Catholic and Protestant, have no business advising the government!

No, the tension between the National Socialist government and the Catholic and Lutheran Churches was the normal tension between Religion and Politics, when both are active, dedicated, and aggressive. It is the old tension between what is God's and what is Caesar's. At the moment the very Catholic government of Spain, Franco's, is having a quarrel with a Basque bishop and the Vatican! It is a family quarrel. And that and no more summarizes the tension between Hitler, National Socialism, the Third Reich, and the Catholic and Lutheran Churches. Throughout the war, the Third Reich subsidized Lutheran and Catholic schools. Has our "democratic" goverment done the like for Christianity?

I cannot help reflecting that, except for the brainwashed clergymen, the liberals who profess to deplore Hitler's "persecution" of the Church did in fact in their hearts hope that Hitler would liquidate the Church the way their beloved Soviet Russians liquidated the Christian church there! Part of their hatred of Hitler and National Socialism is probably subconsciously attributable to the fact that under Hitler the churches flourished as never before!

The Balance of Allied and Nazi Atrocities

So brainwashed and propagandized the American and British public have been that if you asked them which side committed the most atrocities, the Germans or the victors, they would bridle up and deny that there were any Allied atrocities at all, but the most abominable atrocities in history by the Germans. Yet what is the balance sheet?

As we have indicated above, here is a summary:

RAPE: the victors, mostly the Soviet Russians, raped one million German, Austrian, and Hungarian girls and women; the German armed forces, virtually none.

SLAVE-LABORING PRISONERS OF WAR: the victors slave-labored some 2,000,000 German POW's, a majority unto death; the Germans, none.

EXPULSION OF NATIVE POPULATIONS: the victors drove out 15,000,000; the Germans, a few thousand, and not by expulsion, but by exchange.

TOTAL ROBBERY: the victors totally expropriated the Oder-Neisse, Sudeten, Volksdeutsche farms, homes, cattle, furniture, everything, billions and billions of dollar's worth; the Germans virtually no robberies of civilians and only internationally legal requisitions otherwise.

MURDER OF CIVILIANS: the victors clubbed, raped, abused to death, 2,500,000, expelled Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans, and another half million or more Balkan Germans; the Germans virtually none except in internationally legal reprisals for assassinations and partisan sabotage, as at Lidici and Rome, numbering at most in the thousands.

COLD-BLOODED MURDER OF POW OFFICERS: the victors(the Soviet Russians) murdered 15,000 Polish officers at Katyn and elsewhere, not as reprisals but cold-bloodedly, and then at the

Nuremberg Trials attributing these murders to the Nazis; the Germans, none.

FORCED REPATRIATION OF CIVILIANS AND POW'S: the victors, namely the Anglo-Americans, clubbed, shot, drugged some 2,000,000 prisoners, who had fled Soviet Russia into the more civilized Nazi Germany, back into the Soviet Union, where their leaders were shot and the rank and file slave-labored. The name for this atrocity is "Operation Keelhaul"; the Germans, not guilty of "Operation Keelhaul".

DISMANTLING: the victors, even though they had made rubble out of one-third of Germany by area bombing, demolished whatever factories were left and transported the dismantled parts to their own lands, mostly Soviet Russia; the Germans, (in their occupied territories), not guilty of dismantling.

THEFT FROM OCCUPIED CIVILIANS: the victors, Americans, with a pointed gun or threat, took from German civilians watches, cameras, rings, paintings, anything they could carry and mail, and the Soviet Russians robbed all these things and everything else they could haul off by railroad, even church bells; the Germans, in their occupied territories, were correct.

CONCENTRATION CAMPS: the victors had at least 10 times more concentration camps, and mostly bestially inhumane ones(Soviet Russia), even the Rooseveltian U. S. had ten for Nisei and Issei, ostensibly for "potentially dangerous" persons; the Germans also had such concentration camps, not a fourth as many or as brutal as the victors had and they were not death camps as alleged, but work camps, including Dachau and Auschwitz. Concentration camps are no more intrinsically evil than jails and prisons.

FOREIGN OR CONSCRIPTED LABOR: the victors, right after armistice, made a scramble for German scientists and conscripted them to work in the U. S., Britain, and Soviet Russia; the Germans during the war recruited foreign labor from their occupied territories, just as West Germany now has recruited nearly 3,000,000 foreign workers (Gast Arbeiter). The Nazi use of foreign labor during the war was perfectly legitimate. The U. S. hired Mexican labor during the war! In general the Germans treated their foreign labor essentially like their own workers, as best as wartime conditions permitted.

Atrocity Balance Sheet Strains Allied "Smotherout" Strategy

As I said above, the Allies shocked by their own wanton destruction of Central Europe, decided with war crimes trials and otherwise so to harp on and blow up alleged Nazi atrocities that the public would excuse their own barbarity and say, "What if Hitler was right at Danzig, what if Roosevelt tricked the U. S. into the war by the backdoor, the Nazis were so bestial that this was justified!" This has been Allied strategy. And still is. That was the purpose of the Nuremberg Trials, of the Eichmann trial, of the order to West Germany to continue the trials against Germans but on pain of everlasting damnation not ever to try Allied war criminals nor ever to use as a defence that the Allies did likewise, or worse!

This became obviously difficult to carry off as more and more evidence indicated that the Allied war crimes and atrocities were ten times more numerous and more bestial than any alleged against the Nazis (e.g., rape). As a consequence they resorted to speculation and to fabrication.

Speculation as an Aid to the "Smotherout"

Since the Allied war crimes were so much worse than those of the Third Reich, the Allied hypocrites resorted to speculation as to, not what Hitler actually did or said, but what he would have done had he won. To stupefy the gullible clergyman they speculate that he would have wiped out the churches. There is not a shred of evidence for this speculation. But there is a lot of evidence that the churches would have flourished as hardly ever before. Another speculation is that he would have gone on to conquer the world. This is a damnable, preposterous imputation. Hitler made it clear throughout his career that he merely wanted to correct the Versailles Treaty and establish a united Germany in the boundaries corresponding to Wilson's self-determination. Even at the height of his victories, he offered to withdraw to Germany's rightful boundaries as soon as the Allies agreed to peace. The Israelis, it will be noted, plunged the whole world into an energy crisis rather than withdraw to the proper boundaries of 1967! In line with his correct policy, Hitler did not annex Czechoslovakia, he merely extended a "protectorate" over it, as the U. S. in Latin America has done often.

Another speculation is that he would have dictated an unjust peace and dismembered nations and shifted boundaries in violation of self-determination. If Hitler had been the devil incarnate he couldn't have committed more violent dismembering, more brutal territorial robbery than the victors did. No doubt that is why their conscience inspires that speculation. In that respect alone the victors created what Time Magazine called "history's most terrifying peace."

The sober, for Americans unpleasant, truth is that while Hitler was victorious he arbitrated the most just boundaries in the Balkans that Europe had ever known. Hitler was the fairest, the most honorable arbitrator of boundaries in the history of Europe. To speculate that he would have reverted to Allied criminal practices had he finally won is an exercise of hypocrisy and satanism worthy of the culprits of the most massive atrocity in history, the expulsion of the Oder-Neisse and Sudeten Germans!

The Last Resort of Liars, Fabricating a Mass Atrocity

Not finding the Nazis guilty of real war crimes at all commensurate with the monstrous ones of the victors, they resorted to the only alternative open to hypocrites and liars, namely, to fabricate a mass atrocity. This they did with the legend of the six million Jews "gassed", four million in Auschwitz and two million elsewhere. This is a 95% fabrication and swindle. They have not even attempted to offer one shred of historically valid evidence for this. Auschwitz, for example, had a total of no more than 450,000 inmates --- and no "gas chambers". Not even at the Eichmann trial were they shameless enough to talk of 6,000,000 Jews killed by the Nazis, even though they base the whole flimsy slander on the hearsay that Eichmann once to his subordinates Hoettl and Hoess spoke of 5,000,000 victims in general, not specifically of Jews (Time, June 6, 1960, reports it as five million; the Jewish Newsweek, June 6, 1960, hikes it to six million!). Eichmann, even had he said it, could not know the true figures. He himself never killed a Jew; he merely organized the transportation of Jews to concentration camps in the east, just as someone, presumably Milton Eisenhower, organized the transportation of 112,000 Nisei from California to Colorado, Nevada and Utah!

In short the fabricators of the 6,000,000 swindle have never come up with any valid proofs whatsoever. And they smear-

terrorize anyone as an anti-Semite, who does investigate this myth in a scholarly way. The more we study the question the more it appears that more women and children were bombed and sniped to death in 24 hours in Dresden by the Allies than Jews --- if we except those legitimately killed as partisans and saboteurs --- were executed by the Nazis.(For more information see "The Six Million Swindle, by Dr. App, 30 pages, 50¢; and The Myth of the Six Million, 2nd edition, 1973, 119 pages, $2.00. Both available from Boniface Press).

Just Why the Paroxism of Hate Against National Socialism

We ask again, just why at Potsdam and in our media is National Socialism (and what these call neo-Nazism) hated and prohibited with orgiastic frenzy, but Bolshevism treated benignly? Why is a Communistic party tolerated, if not encouraged, in West Germany, but a National Socialist party prohibited for all eternity?

Certainly much of this vindictive hatred is due to Jewish eye-for-an-eye-ism, not for Jews executed by the Third Reich, but for the Third Reich's unabashed wish to have Jews emigrate from Central Europe to a national home of their own such as Madagascar! Jews consider anyone's dislike of them such an insult that for it they were chiefly responsible for plunging the world into WWII, for making the peace the most genocidic and unjust in history, and for keeping up an incessant hate - and slander campaign against all things German. And to blackmail Germany into astronomical reparations for this alleged "insult" to Jewish self-esteem! Of course, not adverted to is the sad fact that all civilized nations (except atheistic Soviet Russia) secretly would gladly be rid of their Jews, and that Jews themselves accuse all nations of anti-Semitism, not the least our Christian America. For example, in December, 1960, the Jewish Anti-Defamation League and the University of California undertook a study of anti-Semitism. This they defined as anything critical of Jews, right or wrong. And what did they come up with? With the terrifying information that one-third of the American population is intensely anti-Semitic, and another one-third moderately anti-Semitic.[4]

4. According to National Review ("The New Anti-Semitism", March 29, 1974), the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rth has found a new crop of Anti-Semites, in place

If this is the case in the American "democracy", then should not our "democracy" be as violently prohibited for all eternity as the Potsdam peace-dictators damned National Socialism lock, stock, and barrel? It is important to note that Jewish world-wide hatred against the Third Reich was as violent in 1933, before a hair of any Jew had been singed in Germany as it is now. The world-wide boycott of German goods was introduced in 1933! Therefore the whole propaganda about the six million Jews killed by the Nazis is merely to try to work Gentiles and Christians up to a genocidic hatred of things German which the Jews of the world have had since 1933.

Power Politics, Envy of Success, Real Cause of Hatred Against National Socialism

Except for the vindictive hatred of the Jews, the hatred of National Socialism can only be understood as power politics, as fear and envy of a system that proved more successful socially and industrially than the Bolshevist and even the Masonic "democracy" of the victors. Hitler and National Socialism in a few brief years converted the "democratic" corpse of Weimar Germany into the "corporative" dynamo of the Third Reich. And the hypocrites who clubbed the German people into accepting the Versailles Treaty --- democracy by dismemberment and the hunger blockade --- never forgave!

As Harry Elmer Barnes, quoted previously, wrote, Roosevelt was really little "disturbed by Hitler's anti-Jewish policy; he was

of Lindbergh, Gerald L. K. Smith, Forrestal, etc. Among the new ones are: "Evans and Novak, Senator J. William Fulbright, Dean Francis B. Sayre of Washington's National Cathedral, the American Friends Service Committee, Father Dan Berrigan, and, would you believe, the Christian Science Monitor." Why, because they "have occasionally had political criticism to make of some aspects of Israeli or U.S. policy in the Middle East." The ADL reacted "to political difference of opinion with ... defama tion." If such Americans can be smeared as Anti-Semitic, then German National Socialists and any patriotic Germans would be so smear-terrorized. And if America has such influential Anti-Semites should its "democracy" not also be destroyed forever and ever? (Of possible interest, "Anti-Semitism a Phoney Bogey," 8 pages, 15¢. Boniface Press)

much more annoyed by the fact that Hitler's 'New Deal' had succeeded in spectacular fashion while his own had failed." There is the secret why America, England, France and Russia hated German National Socialism --- and still do --- and, so as not to be shamed by comparison again, eternally prohibit it!

In November 1936, Churchill said to General Robert E. Wood, "Germany is getting too strong, and we must smash her." And in 1938, Bernard Baruch, later a chief promoter of the genocidic Morgenthau Plan, said to General George C. Marshall, "We are going to lick that fellow Hitler. He isn't going to get away with it." (Both quotes in Blasting The Blackout, pp. 24, 25). Get away with what? Why converting Weimar Germany from a graveyard of unemployment and despair to the joy and prosperity, the autobahns and the enthusiasm, of the Third Reich!

National Socialist Germany the World's Most Efficient Regime

The undeniable, terribly sobering truth is that Hitler and National Socialism were possibly the most successful regime in history. That the U.S. and Soviet Russia and the British Empire after 6 years were able to defeat it and Morganthauize it does not contradict this. It merely proves that nations twenty times as large and as militarized as another can finally crush it: an elephant can crush the best zebra!

We speak of the miracle of West Germany's recovery after the war. And indeed it was a "miracle". But it occurred during a world-wide boom, it had the good will and the Marshall help of America, and most of all it was not handicapped by a world-wide Jewish boycott. On the contrary, the revival of Germany from 1933 to 1939, from bust to boom, happened during a world-wide depression (which even Roosevelt's "democracy" could not correct), it had to contend with an international Jewish boycott (this before one hair of a Jew had been singed and no "six million" fabrication existed!), and it started from the bottom of the whole country at a deadstill from unemployment and Communist rioting (sparked mostly by Jews).

Within even a few years, unemployment was ended, expressways were built, and the whole country was booming! From depression it had changed to hope and joy! It became in fact the most prosperous country at that time in the world!

How Did Hitler and National Socialism Create This Miracle Recovery?

Some of the amazing success may be due to Hitler's charismatic personality, but even Hitler could not have done it without a healthy governmental philosophy and an efficient political system.

Dr. Burton Klein (Germany's Economic Preparation for War, Harvard University Press, 1959), according to the review by Harry Elmer Barnes, testifies to this recovery miracle as follows:

> "Germany in August, 1939, was a more powerful and impressive political unit than had ever been known in this area in the history of Europe. Germany reached at that time the "apex" of its power, whether the system was a desirable one or not." (See Barnes, Revisionism and Brainwashing, p. 29).

Surely it is ironic that the peace dictators peremtorily prohibited the one system of government that had surpassed all others in efficiency! What other motive than power politics and envy and a determination to keep Germany a doormat of the victors could it have been? And is still?

Some have said National Socialist prosperity was due to rearmament. But the same pundits, when adverting to the tragedy that living standards in Soviet Russia are pitiful, still at the level of 1913, attribute this to resources spent on arms. And when it is noted that Israel can continue only with the help of enormous gifts from America and billions of reparations from Germany, it is quickly added that this is due to the drain of military preparedness against the Arabs! But when Hitler's Germany was unprecedentedly successful economically and industrially, lo and behold, the pundits attribute it to its alleged "militarism".

Economic Success Not Due to Rearmament

This should have crippled the Third Reich economically; yet in spite of its modest rearmament it enjoyed a startling economic boom. Dr. Klein debunks "a fundamental charge against Hitler ... the assertion that National Socialist Germany was a purely military economy." Dr. Barnes, reviewing Dr. Klein's book, asserts:

> "....this book devastatingly refuted the indictment of National Socialist Germany as a military industrial camp which had been designed solely to wage war. France and Britain each spent as much (or more) for armament as did Germany, and combined they spent much more." (Revisionism and Brainwashing, p. 4)

And Soviet Russia, of course, was a military colossus, quantitatively, compared to peacetime Nazi Germany.

No, there was something in German National Socialism intrinsically effective and superior to Bolshevism, and even to virtually all of the "democracies" set up at gunpoint by the victors after WWI, and certainly, to nearly all of them (except so far West Germany and Japan) set up after WWII. Most of them are now Soviet Russian satellites, totalitarian dictatorships, surrounded by barbed wire! Even Italy, still in the West, has had 36 different governments in 31 years, all since the U. S. gun-pointed "democracy" upon it and prohibited "fascism" for ever and aye![5] (Wall Street Journal, March 11, 1974).

---

5. In March, Secretary of State Kissinger got some flak when he said "that there have been rarely, fully legtimate governments in any European country since World War I"(See Time, March 25, 1974, p. 31). These countries generally all had "democratic" governments forced on them virtually at gun point by the Wilsonians and Rooseveltians. Most of them are totalitarian barbarisms now, dominated by Soviet Russia. And the more totalitarian they are, the more they call themselves "democracy". That is an ominous facit about "democracy", that it lends itself to verbal appropriation by Communism! Incidentally, Germans never made as much of a "religion" out of National Socialism as Americans for decades have made out of "democracy." But recently there are some misgivings about the wise benignity of "democracy"! Wall Street Journal entitled an editorial, "Democracies in Trouble" (March 19, 1974). And columnist Major General Thomas A. Lane entitled an article, "Is Democracy Failing?" It surely has failed wherever the U. S. forced it on others by threat and command and similarly suppressed native forms!

Perhaps "Corporativism" Accounts for Success of Third Reich

It is ironic that the much maligned National Socialism was economically and socially ten times more successful than any Bolshevist regime, but even more so than most "democratic" ones. It did not, like Communism, need to close off its borders. Its citizens did not want to escape en masse, not even the Jews wanted to leave. Oddly enough, the so-called other rightist, "fascist" regimes, like Mussolini's Italy, Franco's Spain, Salazar's Portugal, and presently Brazil, Greece, and Chile (since the overthrow of Allende the Communist) have open borders!

But not only that. They also were or are relatively successful economically, socially, industrially. Whereas Communist Chile in a few years had nothing but chaos and inflation, the so-called fascist take-over is already turning from bust to boom. The same thing happened in Brazil.

Corporativism Seemed to Energize National Socialism and Fascism

We therefore must reluctantly conclude that some principle in National Socialism and in so-called fascism seems to be energizing, stimulative. The answer probably is "corporativism". This was the preferred political system among Catholic theorists --- until the victors prohibited saying a good word for it. In a corporative society, capitalism and labor cooperate, employees and employers in the same industry are organized mutually. And private property is state supervised but secure. In Communism on the contrary, private property is abolished, even to small farms. And the so-called proletariat is organized to hate industrialists and private employers. Communism preaches the hatred of classes; National Socialism (and it seems, fascist governments in general) put owner and worker into the same "club", make them partners, not antagonists.

In so-called "democratic" governments, labor unions, too, tend to be antagonistic to employers and owners. Unrestrained labor unionists, at the voting booth, far outnumber employers --- and if trained to confrontation, can wreck not only any industry but the whole country. That is how the Weimar Republic was convulsed into strikes and chaos, and England and Italy now. And union power and unreason seem to be endangering "democracy" in our U. S. A.

It is often remarked how until under the socialists Brandt, Wehner, Bahr, German labor and industrialists worked and prospered in marvellous harmony. One can plausibly speculate that this harmony was due to the lingering memory and influence of the corporativism of the Third Reich. Communism is essentially a system of mutual hatreds; democracy is endangered by the divisiveness of unionism and the encouraged antagonism between labor and industry; National Socialism (and fascism) arranges occupations and trades and industries into equivalents of the ancient guilds, it puts workers and work-givers into the same "guild", into partnerships. This makes for a common interest, and mutual effort. That may be the essential reason why National Socialism was so astoundingly successful (and in Italy and Spain fascism was relatively, too).

It also seems to be the intrinsic, the under-the-skin reason why the victors have such an insane hatred of National Socialism (and fascism) and want to ban it forever from the earth. It is power politics and envy at their worst; it is stupid and criminal. And it is tragic and dangerous at best.

Allied Equating Nationalism with Neo-Nazism (or Fascism) Terribly Dangerous

Not letting sovereign peoples choose what form of government they wish, even if fascist, is obviously criminal. It is also unutterably stupid. If the form of government desired were totally evil, it will, like the Red regime of Chile, if unassisted by armed foreign tanks, quickly collapse. If it has much virtue then no combinations of victors and power-political scoundrels can forever suppress it.

The terrible danger in our time is what I have stated at the beginning and what is the reason for my writing this booklet. It is that the way National Socialism is condemned and banned in the Potsdam Agreement encourages the Communists, the Talmudists, and the power-political enemies of a united, strong Germany to label every German motion of patriotism and nationalism as "neo-Nazi". This, first, effectively gives Soviet Russia, on the strength of UN Articles 53 and 107 the green light to invade West Germany. Secondly, since hatred of National Socialism appears to be chiefly an American and Jewish fetish, the German people increasingly have come to despair of American help in effecting the reunification of Germany; thirdly, if their patriotism and nationalism is denounced

and banned as "neo-Nazi", the German people have no alternative but to favor or pretend to favor Communism and Soviet Russia in the hope of eventual re-unification through them.

That is a real danger. It is a plausible one, it is also for the German people a virtually necessary strategy --- if the Western victors don't soon stop equating German patriotism with National Socialism: if in fact, they talk about permitting all peoples to adopt a government of their own choice, but hypocritically tell the Germans on pain of destruction not to choose a rightist government. Only rightist goverments can save Europe, can reunite Germany; to denounce rightist movements as "radical" or "neo-Nazi", and to prohibit them, is criminal and stupid. Even if any peoples of Europe choose National Socialism or fascism, it is none of our "damn" business, no more than it is theirs to object to our brand of "democracy", Watergate and all!